



WSGE University of Applied  
Sciences in Józefów

Scientific journal

„*Journal of Modern Science*”

www.jomswsge.com

### Reviewer's form

Before reviewing, please refer to the Ethical Code of the journal *Journal of Modern Science* (<https://www.jomswsge.com/Ethical-Code,4513.html>).

If the review preparation at this time is not possible, please notify as soon as possible.

Article no \_\_\_\_\_

Title \_\_\_\_\_

		Yes	No	General	See comments
1.	Is the subject of the work corresponding to the nature (subject and purpose) of journal?				
2.	Is the subject of the work innovative and is based on original research?				
3.	Is the title of the article consistent with its contents?				
4.	Does Abstract contain a sufficient amount of clear and comprehensible information, especially when it is read separately?				
5.	Does the keywords characterize the content of the article?				
6.	Are fixed in the paper goals appropriate and relevant to the subject of the work?				
7.	Have the set goals been achieved?				
8.	Is the material and research methods selected properly and presented in a precise and transparent way?				
9.	Are the theoretical basis and issues of the problem describe clearly?				
10.	Is the nomenclature and terminology correct and met the international standards?				
11.	Are there parts of content that should be extended or shortened?				
12.	Are the development trends of the problem describe?				
13.	Is the illustrative material (table, charts, other graphic forms) needed, sufficient, appropriate selected and is of good quality?				
14.	Are there any suggested changes or the use of additional phrases / expressions in order to complete the paper and to make the work more attractive?				
15.	Is the presentation of the results performed correctly and completely?				
16.	Are the conclusions formulated correctly and are they confirmed by the results and content of work?				
17.	Is the length of the work appropriate for its content?				
18.	Is the language of the article suitable for scientific work?				
19.	Is the selection of literature sufficient, relevant and adequate to the discussed issues?				
20.	Does the presentation of the cited literature comply with the Instructions for Authors?				

21.	The attractiveness level of the article:	International level	National level	Local level
	the level of presentation of problem			
	the anticipated attention by the scientific community			

22.	Is the work approved for publication:	Yes	No
	in current form		
	after minor corrections		
	after substantial corrections		
	it is not approved		

Please provide a brief justification of your opinion and the comments and suggestions for authors.

The comments and suggestions for authors:

The justification of reviewer's opinion:

Information about the reviewer:

Name and surname	
Title, academic degree	
Work place (institute / department / university))	
The review date	
Reviewer's signature	
<p><i>Statement</i></p> <p>I declare that I have read the Ethical Code of the journal <i>Journal of Modern Science</i>.</p> <p>I declare that there is no conflict of interest in the reference to the publishing of the reviewed item.</p> <p>I do not know the reasons, which could affect the objectivity of the review.</p> <p>I pledge not to use and not to make available to third parties the text of the reviewed publishing items and this opinion.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">..... (place, date and reviewer's signature)</p>	